1. Familiarize with the environment using NSHARP, favorable parameters:

- Above average PWs (>75th percentile)
- Deep warm cloud layer (> 10 kft)
- Moist vertical profile (Low/Mid RH > 70%)
- Slow "LCL-EL (Cloud Layer)" wind (< 10-15 kt)
- Long, skinny CAPE (500-2000 J/kg)
- Slow Corfidi Up/Down shear vectors (< 10-15 kt)

2. Familiarize with the antecedent soil conditions and topography

- a. Look at FLASH soil moisture to see recently saturated areas (> 50%)
- b. Become familiar with 1- and 3-hr FFG values across your CWA
- c. Consider topography and urban areas

3. Choose your optimal precip source: <u>Dual-Pol</u> or <u>MRMS</u>

a. Assess QPEs at all durations, comparing with observations when possible

| | Purpose | Compare QPEs with | Notes |
|-------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Storm-total | Get a feel for totals | Mesonets | Know when Mesonets reset; zoom in |
| | | (note the units) | before sampling |
| 1-hr | Get a feel for recent | METARs | Time-match at top of hour; zoom in |
| | accumulations | (PXXXX = XX.XX in) | before sampling |
| Rates | See how rates affect | n/a | Instantaneous rates change quickly \rightarrow |
| | precip classifications | | be careful when interpreting |

b. Assess QPE quality

- Is melting hail causing a high-bias in your estimates?
 - In areas of KDP > 4-5 deg/km, what are your rain rates?
- Is your threat area **below the melting layer** for your chosen radar?
 - Being below the melting layer adds confidence that the radar is sampling liquid precip
- c. FFMP precip source options

| DPR | DP, single radar use for Dual-Pol estimates that may have beam blockage | |
|------|---|--|
| HPE | DP, mosaic | use for DP + mosaic (<i>preferred DP source</i>) |
| MRMS | mosaic | uses DP below melting layer, unique precip type and Z-R logic, high temp res |

4. Analyze streamflow signatures in FFMP and FLASH

- a. Use FFMP to diagnose flash flood threat using optimal precip source above
 - Ideal set-up: "All & Only Small Basins" (Layer menu) and "Ratio" (D2D menu)
 - Ratio > 100% : to identify areas of flash flooding
 - Diff > 0 in. : to assess severity of flash flooding
 - Look at 1-, 3-, and 6-hour durations (for both short-term and training potential)
 - o Advanced: use all-hour basin trend graph to identify timing and durations for analysis
- b. Use FLASH to diagnose flash flood threat
 - CREST Unit Streamflow (recommended values below)
 - \circ All FLASH products use MRMS as QPE input \rightarrow remember this while interpreting them!

5. Issue Flash Flood Warnings and reassess regularly for Flash Flood Statements

| Duration | No less than 3 hours | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| Polygon | Small buffer around current threat (FFMP & FLASH); broaden for evolving threat (next | | |
| size | couple hours); consider downstream direction | | |
| Text | how much rain has fallen; how much more is expected over the warning duration; cities | | |
| | impacted; reports included; 1-2 Call-to-Action statements | | |
| IBW tag | Consider extent of impacts; consider CREST Unit Streamflow values (below) | | |

Recommended CREST Unit Streamflow values to analyze flash flood threat:

| CREST Unit Streamflow | IBW Tag | Action |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| < 200 cfs/mi ² | | Monitor area for increasing FF potential |
| 200-400 cfs/mi ² | BASE | Monitor closely; initial threshold for FFW |
| 400-600 cfs/mi ² | CONSIDERABLE | Higher confidence in warning issuance and elevated impacts |
| 600+ cfs/mi ² (w/ verif) | CATASTROPHIC | Significant FF event; significant impacts expected |

*Look for values that are continuous in space and time

Loading the FFMP Basin Trend Graph:

- 1. Right-click on basin name in FFMP Basin Table
- 2. FFMP text legend "editable", Click menu in FFMP table set to "Basin Trend", right-click on basin in D2D

