TDL OFFICE NOTE 88-2

THE NATIONAL VERIFICATION
SCORE ARCHIVE

Valery J. Dagostaro

May 1988

THE NATIONAL VERIFICATION
SCORE ARCHIVE

Valery J. Dagostaro

1. INTRODUCTION

In October 1983 the National Weather Service (NWS) implemented an automated system for collecting locally issued official forecasts, Model Output Statistics (MOS) guidance (Glahn and Lowry, 1972), and verifying observations for numerous weather elements. These data are collected at 47 Weather Service Forecast Offices (WSFO's), transmitted via the Automation of Field Operations and Services (AFOS) system to the National Meteorological Center (NMC), and permanently archived on magnetic tape at NMC's central computer facility. Ruth and Alex (1987) describe the collation of data at each WSFO and the transmission of these data to the NMC computer. Verification scores are computed on a routine basis for the 6-mo seasons of April-September and October-March. Summary reports are provided at the national, regional, and local levels. The warm season 1987 scores are discussed in Dagostaro et al. (1988). An overview of the AFOS-era Verification (AEV) data processing system is provided in Dagostaro (1985).

Since 1966, the NWS has verified temperature and precipitation forecasts on a routine basis. The original verification program was replaced by the highly-automated AEV system in 1983. An official long-term record of forecasts and observations was established by using a subset of the early verification data along with the more recent data. A study of trends in the temperature and precipitation scores over the 20-yr period beginning in April 1966, as well as a brief history of the pre-AEV system, is given in Carter and Polger (1986).

The verification scores that are evaluated are defined in the NWS National Verification Plan (National Weather Service, 1982). Scores for each 6-mo season are maintained in a permanent archive for the following purposes: to assess the long-term trends in various scores, to provide the research community with the scores, and to maintain a permanent record of the scores for future use. In this document, the permanent archive of the forecasts and observations will be referred to as the data archive, and that of the verification scores will be referred to as the score archive. The score archive actually consists of two distinct data sets: one set covers the period from April 1966 to the present and is referred to as the long-term score archive; the second covers the period from October 1983 to the present and is termed the AEV score archive.

2. STATIONS

A. Long Term

The 100 stations listed in Table 1 comprise the long-term data and score archives. The verification sites were chosen so that spatial coverage remained relatively constant over the entire period of record. Since the stations which participated in the program changed over the years, data are available for about 80 stations in any given year. See Carter and Polger (1986) for further details.

B. AFOS-era

Here, the data and score archives are for the 94 stations listed in Table 2. Each of the 47 WSFO's in the conterminous United States transmits data to NMC for itself and a Weather Service Office (WSO) within the WSFO's area of responsibility.

Although the WSFO's remain constant throughout the period of record, the WSO's may change. For example, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania transmitted data for itself and Atlantic City, New Jersey during the first two seasons of the AEV system. However, Atlantic City was replaced by Scranton, Pennsylvania in October 1984. These type of changes are summarized in Table 3.

3. WEATHER ELEMENTS

The long-term score archive is comprised of verification scores for maximum/minimum (max/min) temperature and probability of precipitation (PoP) forecasts. The weather elements for which scores have been calculated since October 1983 are max/min temperature, PoP, probability of precipitation type (PoPT), snow amount, surface wind speed and direction, cloud amount, ceiling height, and visibility. Scores are calculated for a matched sample of local and MOS forecasts, and the verifying observations, unless otherwise noted. Details for each weather element are summarized as follows:

Max/Min Temperature - The local forecasts are valid for daytime/nighttime periods. ·Originally, the MOS forecasts were valid for calendar day periods (Dallavalle et al., 1980). On November 25, 1985, these calendar day guidance forecasts were replaced by max/min temperature forecasts valid for daytime/nighttime periods which closely approximate those of the local forecasts (Erickson and Dallavalle, 1986). Two types of observations are transmitted by the stations to NMC and stored in the data archive. The first is a calendar day max (min) reported at 1200 (0600) GMT, while the second is a daytime max (nighttime min). Verification scores were calculated using only the daytime max/nighttime min observations. Although the MOS forecasts were not valid for daytime/nighttime periods prior to November 25, 1985, those observations were used since they correspond more closely to the local forecast.

PoP - The local and MOS forecasts, as well as the precipitation amount observations, are valid for 12-h periods. MOS forecasts have values of 0.0, 0.02, 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, ...1.00, while the local forecasts may be any of those values except 0.02. The verifying observation is the occurrence of .01 inches or more of precipitation for a 12-h period. For the 0000 (1200) GMT cycle, the 12-h amount is the sum of two 6-h amounts reported at 1800 and 0000 GMT (0600 and 1200 GMT).

Precipitation Type - The local and MOS forecasts are categorical forecasts as follows:

```
1 = freezing (ZL, ZR)
2 = frozen (IC, IP, IPW, S, SG, SP, SW), and
3 = liquid (L, R, RW).
```

Both types of forecasts are conditional on occurrence of precipitation. Two types of observations were used to verify these forecasts. The first

was the observed type(s) at the verifying hour, and the second was the observed type(s) reported in routine and special observations for a 2-h window (± 1 hour) about the verifying hour. Verification scores that were calculated by using the observation at the verifying hour are distinguished from those based on the observation for the 2-h window by an identification number in the score archive. Since more than one form of precipitation can occur simultaneously, observations of mixed precipitation are placed in categories according to the most hazardous precipitation type. For example, mixed frozen and liquid precipitation is placed in the frozen category, and the occurrence of freezing rain in combination with any other type of precipitation is considered freezing rain.

Snow Amount - The local forecast is valid for the 12-h period ending at 0000 or 1200 GMT. The observed snow amount for the 0000 (1200) GMT cycle is the sum of the 6-h amounts reported at 1800 and 0000 GMT (0600 and 1200 GMT). The MOS guidance is a categorical forecast as follows:

$$0 = \leq 1 \text{ inch},$$
$$2 = 2\text{-}3 \text{ inches},$$
$$4 = 4\text{-}5 \text{ inches, and}$$
$$6 = \geq 6 \text{ inches}.$$

Wind Speed - The local and MOS forecasts and verifying observations are in whole knots. The forecasts and observations are placed in the following categories for the 12-, 18-, and 24-h projections:

$$1 = \leq 12 \text{ kt},$$
$$2 = 13\text{-}17 \text{ kt},$$
$$3 = 18\text{-}22 \text{ kt},$$
$$4 = 23\text{-}27 \text{ kt},$$
$$5 = 28\text{-}32 \text{ kt, and}$$
$$6 = \geq 33 \text{ kt}.$$

The 42-h forecasts are simply a yes/no forecast of the occurrence of wind speed > 22 kt. The forecasts and observations are placed in the following categories:

$$1 = \leq 22 \text{ kt, and}$$
$$2 = > 22 \text{ kt}.$$

Two types of observations are used to verify the wind speed forecasts. The first is the observation taken at the verifying time, and the second is the highest 1-min average wind speed in a 6-h window (± 3 hours) about the verifying time. The scores that have been calculated using the observation at the verifying hour are distinguished from those using the observation in the 6-h window by an identification number in the score archive.

Wind Direction - Wind direction is both reported and observed to the nearest 10 degrees. Both the local and MOS forecasts are verified with the observation at the verifying hour only. Both types of forecasts and the verifying observation are placed into the following categories:

$$1 = 340\text{-}20 \text{ degrees},$$
$$2 = 30\text{-}60 \text{ degrees},$$
$$3 = 70\text{-}110 \text{ degrees},$$

4 = 120-150 degrees,
                    5 = 160-200 degrees,
                    6 = 210-240 degrees,
                    7 = 250-290 degrees, and
                    8 = 300-330 degrees.

Cloud Amount - The local forecasts, MOS guidance, and observations are
expressed in categories. The categories are defined as follows:

                    1 = CLR, -X, -SCT, -BKN, -OVC,
                    2 = SCT,
                    3 = BKN, and
                    4 = OVC, X.

Ceiling height - The local forecasts, observed ceiling height, and "per-
sistence forecasts" are placed in categories that correspond to the MOS
categorical forecasts. The categories are defined as:

                    1 = 0-100 ft,
                    2 = 200-400 ft,
                    3 = 500-900 ft,
                    4 = 1000-2900 ft,
                    5 = 3000-7500 ft, and
                    6 = > 7500 ft.

Visibility - The local forecast, observed visibility, and persistence
forecast are converted to categorical values which correspond to the MOS
categorical forecasts. The categories are defined as follows:

                    1 = < 1/2 mi,
                    2 = 1/2 - 7/8 mi,
                    3 = 1 - 2 3/4 mi,
                    4 = 3 - 4 mi,
                    5 = 5 - 6 mi, and
                    6 = > 6 mi.

Prior to the cool season of 1986-87, the local and guidance forecasts for the
aviation elements of ceiling height, visibility, and wind speed and direction
were valid at the same projections, and a comparative verification was per-
formed on a matching sample of data. The local and MOS aviation forecasts were
verified against the observations collected at the station and transmitted to
NMC. The persistence forecasts, which were defined as the 0900 (2100) GMT
observation for the 0000 (1200) GMT cycle, were also collected at the station
and transmitted to NMC. For ceiling and visibility, a comparative verification
was performed on a matching sample of local, MOS, and persistence forecasts.

In December 1986, the release time of the official aviation terminal (FT)
forecasts changed. The local forecasts for all stations are no longer released
at the same time each day, such as 0930 GMT. The forecasts are now released at
nearly the same local time. Thus, the forecasts associated with the 0000 GMT
cycle are released at 0830 GMT for stations in the Eastern Time Zone (when
standard time is in effect), while the forecasts associated with the 0000 GMT
cycle are released at 1030 GMT for stations in the Pacific Time Zone. With the
change in issuance time of the FT's, the local and MOS forecasts are no longer
valid for the same periods, so a comparative verification is no longer

possible. The local aviation forecasts are verified against the observations collected at the station and transmitted to NMC. A comparative verification of local and persistence forecasts is performed for ceiling and visibility using persistence forecasts collected at the station and transmitted to NMC. The observations used as the persistence forecasts and the verifying observations are now based on the local time rather than the cycle (Ruth and Alex, 1987.)

The valid time of the MOS aviation forecasts has not changed. The MOS forecasts are now verified against observations taken from hourly reports collected at NMC. A comparative verification of MOS and persistence forecasts is performed for ceiling and visibility using persistence forecasts taken from hourly reports. In this case, the persistence forecast is still defined as the 0900 (2100) GMT observation for the 0000 (1200) GMT cycle. (Note that the wind speed forecasts for the 42-h projection were not affected by the change in the FT issuance time, so a comparative verification of the local and MOS forecasts is still performed for that projection.)

4. ARCHIVE PROCEDURES

The procedure to write the verification scores to the score archive is the same for both the long-term and AEV score archives. The forecasts are verified and the scores written to an intermediate data set for further processing as described in Appendix I. The scores are then used as input to a program that writes the permanent score archive on magnetic tape. Appendix II gives further details about this procedure. Both of these steps make use of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, 1985). Appendix III shows the format of the archive tapes. Each file on the long-term archive tape contains max/min temperature and PoP scores for a 6-mo season. The archive begins with the warm season of 1966 and continues to the present. Each file on the AEV score archive tape contains scores for the weather elements described in Section 3 of this document. The AEV archive begins with the 1983-84 cool season and continues to the present. At the end of each 6-mo season, the new scores are added to the archive as a separate file. The scores that are saved for each element are shown in Table 4. Descriptions of the score identification numbers are given in Appendix III.

5. ACCESSING THE ARCHIVED SCORES

Currently, a general purpose computer program is being prepared to retrieve the scores for various stations and elements. This software will retrieve scores for any given set of stations, weather elements, and projections. Documentation of the program will also be provided.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Gary Carter for his guidance with the preparation of this report, and Karen Yip for typing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Carter, G. M., and P. D. Polger, 1986: A 20-year summary of national weather service verification results for temperature and precipitation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS FCST-31, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 50 pp.

Dagostaro, V. J., 1985: The national AFOS-era data processing system. <u>TDL Office Note</u> 85-9, National Weather Service, NOAA, U.S. Department of Commerce, 47 pp.

_____, G. M. Carter, and J. P. Dallavalle, 1988: AFOS-era verification of guidance and local aviation/public weather forecasts--No. 8 (April 1987-October 1987). <u>TDL Office Note</u> 88-1, National Weather Service, NOAA, U.S. Department of Commerce, 43 pp.

Dallavalle, J. P., J. S. Jensenius, Jr., and W. H. Klein, 1980: Improved surface temperature guidance from the limited-area fine mesh model. <u>Preprints Eighth Conference on Weather Forecasting and Analysis</u>, Denver, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 1-8.

Erickson, M. C., and J. P. Dallavalle, 1986: Objectively forecasting the short-range maximum/minimum temperature - A new look. <u>Preprints Eleventh Conference on Weather Forecasting and Analysis</u>, Kansas City, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 33-38.

Glahn, H. R., and D. A. Lowry, 1972: The use of Model Output Statistics (MOS) in objective weather forecasting. <u>J. Appl. Meteor.</u>, 11, 1203-1211.

National Weather Service, 1982: <u>National Verification Plan</u>. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 81 pp.

Ruth, D. P., and C. L. Alex, 1987: AFOS-era forecast verification. <u>NOAA Techniques Development Laboratory Computer Program</u> NWS TDL CP 87-2, National Weather Service, NOAA, U.S. Department of Commerce, 50 pp.

SAS Institute, 1985: <u>SAS Users Guide: Basics</u>. SAS Institute, Inc., 1290 pp.

APPENDIX I

Calculation of Scores

The calculation of scores is described in detail in Dagostaro (1985); however, part of this procedure will be discussed briefly here. The entire process consists of three steps. First, the desired forecast and observed data are retrieved from the archive. Next, the data are restructured into a format that the verification modules can use. Each weather element is verified separately except for max/min temperature and PoP. Finally, the scores are calculated. The last step also contains an option to write the scores to an intermediate data file for further processing. When executing the program for the purpose of archiving the scores, this option is used.

An example of the Job Control Language (JCL) to run the program that calculates scores for max/min temperature and PoP is shown in Fig. 1. The score calculation step makes use of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) (SAS Institute, 1985). In order to execute the verification code, several variables must be initialized. The variables are: the first and last dates of the desired verification period; an indicator which determines the types of forecasts to compare; the projections of the forecasts; a code number which indicates the destination of the verification reports; and a code number which indicates whether the final scores are to be saved for further processing. The software is structured so that a single SAS statement both initializes the variables and invokes the macro. The following is an example of a SAS statement used to execute the verification program for max/min temperature and PoP:

```
%VERFTMPC(861001,870331,1,1,24,36,48,60,FT20F001,TP868700)
```

Here, the verification period is October 1, 1986-March 31, 1987; a comparative verification of the local and MOS forecasts is to be performed; the projections are 24, 36, 48, and 60 hours; the summary scores are written to an output data set; and the scores are saved for further processing in a SAS data set named TP868700. Any unique name may be used for the latter data set. If the option to save the scores is not desired, "NA" must be used in place of a data set name. For the example in Fig. 1, the JCL statement which defines the data set is:

```
//SCORARCH DD DSN=$WE21VD.ALLSCORS.CL8687,DISP=(NEW,CATLG),
//    UNIT=TSODA,SPACE=(TRK,250)
```

The Data Control Block (DCB) variables should not be explicitly defined in this statement, since SAS automatically defines them. The SAS data set named TP868700, which contains the max/min temperature and PoP scores, is saved in the SAS data library named $WE21VD.ALLSCORS.CL8687. Each subsequent run of a verification module adds a new data set to the data library if the option to do so is invoked. The data sets created by the verification modules are only usable by SAS (i.e., they are not in a form which other programming languages can access).

APPENDIX II

Archiving of Scores

The score archive program, which also makes use of SAS, writes the scores to the permanent archive. The archive program is stored in 'NWS.WE21.VJD. SOURCE(SCORARCH)'. The job requires three data sets as input. The first is a user defined data set that lists the stations for which verification scores are desired. The SAS program reads the data from an on-line disk data set in card image form. The station list consists of three items of information per station: the station WBAN number, the first letter of the NWS Administrative region in which the station is located, and the station call letters. Any number of stations, up to six, may appear on a "card". Fig. 2 describes the format of the station list data set. The DDNAME of this data set must be INSTA. The first time the archive job is run, the desired stations on the input list are written to the header records of the score archive. In subsequent runs of the archive program, the stations are read from the header records, thus the data set containing the station list is not used in later runs and the DD card can be omitted from the JCL. Since the national and regional scores are valid for a specific list of stations, it is important that the stations in the header records match those for which the scores were calculated. If the stations do not match, a message is written to the SAS printed output file (FT12F001).

The second input data set, whose DDNAME is SCORARCH, is the SAS data library that contains the verification scores. This data library was created during a previous run of the SAS verification module. The third required data set is a SAS format library. The user need only to include a DD card in the JCL which describes the library. The DDNAME associated with the format library is SASLIB, and the data set name is 'NWS.WE21.VJD.SASFMT'.

Like the verification modules, the archive program is run separately for each cycle and weather element. For the aviation elements, the program is also run separately for the local and MOS scores. Fig. 3 shows the JCL to archive the max/min temperature and PoP scores for the 0000 GMT cycle for the 1986-87 cool season. The SAS statement which initializes the variables and invokes the macro is:

    %SCORS(861001,870331,Y,N,Y,DAYNITXN,NA,TP868700).

Eight variables are required.

> Variable 1 - first date of verification period in the form
>   YR*10000 + MO*100 + DAY.

> Variable 2 - last date of verification period in the same form as
>   above.

> Variable 3 - code to determine if header records are to be written to
>   output data set; value is Y or N. If Y is used, a DD card
>   describing the data set which contains the station list must be
>   included in the JCL. The DDNAME is INSTA.

> Variable 4 - code to determine if dummy record is to be written to
>   output, value is Y or N. A dummy record is written to signal the
>   end of the data set.

8

Variable 5 - code to determine if job should terminate if verification
scores do not exist for all desired stations; value is Y or N. If
a value of Y is used and stations in the input data set or archive
header records do not match stations for which scores are avail-
able, the job ends without writing scores to the output file. If a
value of N is used and the station lists do not match, missing
values (9999.) are written to the score archive for those stations
for which scores are not available. Care should be exercised if
the option to terminate the job is not chosen (i.e., if a value of
N is used), since the regional and national scores may be rendered
invalid by a different station list. A message is written to the
SAS output file (FT12F001) indicating which stations do not match.

Variable 6 - code which determines what type of scores are to be
written to output. Possible values are:

DAYNITXN = max/min temperature scores calculated with the
    daytime/nighttime observation as the verifying observation,
CALNDRXN = max/min temperature scores calculated with the calendar
    day observation as the verifying observation
POP = PoP scores
CLD = cloud amount scores
CIG = ceiling height scores
VIS = visibility scores
MWSP = wind speed scores using the highest sustained wind in a 6-h
    window as the verifying observation
WSPD = wind speed scores using the observation at the verifying
    hour
WDIR = wind direction scores (scores are always calculated using
    the observation at the verifying hour)
PPTV = precipitation type scores using the observation(s) at the
    verifying hour
POPT = precipitation type scores using the observation(s) in a 2-h
    window about the verifying hour
POSA = snow amount scores
NA = no scores written, used if just header or trailer records are
    written

Variable 7 - code which indicates the type of forecast for which
scores are to be archived. Possible values for the aviation
elements are LCL, MOS, or NA. A value of NA indicates that both
local and MOS verification scores, along with the persistence
scores for the ceiling and visibility, are to be written to the
output file. The value of NA should be used only if scores have
been calculated on a matched sample of local and MOS forecasts
(i.e., scores calculated for seasons prior to the cool season
1986-87). A value of LCL (MOS) is used if only local (MOS) and the
corresponding persistence scores are to be archived. Use of any
other values for this variable will result in abnormal job
termination when archiving aviation element scores. When archiving
scores for the public weather elements (max/min temperature, PoP,
cloud amount, precipitation type, and snow amount), this variable
is not used. For public weather elements, both the local and MOS
verification scores are always written to the score archive.

Variable 8 - name of data set containing verification scores for this
weather element. The data set name was defined when the verifi-
cation module was run and the scores written to the SAS data
library.

Based on the example shown in Fig. 3, header records are to be written to the
score archive, as well as the max/min temperature scores for all projections
from the 0000 GMT cycle. None of the variables explicitly indicates the cycle
to process; the user is responsible for providing the correct data. Usually
the cycle will be obvious from the SAS data set name that was used when the
scores were saved in the SAS data library. Here the observation used to verify
the forecasts is the daytime/nighttime observation. The code DAYNITXN
indicates that the scores are to be written with specific identification
numbers in the score archive data records.

Each subsequent run of the archive program reads the stations from the header
records. For this reason, it is useful to temporarily write the score archive
to a disk. This eliminates excessive rewinding of the tape if more than one
run of the archive program is made in one job. The archive program is run
separately for each element and cycle, but several runs of the program may be
contained in one job. The JCL to archive the scores for all remaining elements
for the 1986-87 cool season is shown in Fig. 4. Note that each time the SAS
macro is invoked, the variables are redefined. The last time the macro is
invoked, a dummy record is written as the last record of the file.

The verification scores are written to an output data set with the DDNAME of
FT21F001. The data set may be written to disk until scores for all elements
have been archived, and then copied to magnetic tape. The permanent archive
resides on a 6250 bpi density tape, with DCB variables: LRECL=860,
BLKSIZE=23224, and RECFM=VBS. Each file on the tape contains the scores for a
6-mo season. The data set name of each file is OVER. The format of the tape
is described in Appendix III.

Format of NWS Verification Score Archives

A – One file of data pertaining to one season of verification data and consisting of:

1 – Header information:

Record 1 – 10 words:

Word 1 – 4 characters = 'OVER' used to identify the NWS Official Verification data. (A4)

Word 2 – Number of stations for which scores exist for this season = NSTA. (Integer*4)

Word 3 – Number of groups for which scores exist for this season = NGP. (Integer*4)

Word 4 – Number of stations and groups for which scores exist for this season = NSG = NSTA + NGP. (Integer*4)

Word 5 – Number of 4-byte words in header records following this one = NHDR = NSG + 4. (Integer*4)

Word 6 – Number of 4-byte words in data records following NGP + 8 header records = NWDS = 2*NSG + 4. (Integer*4)

Word 7 – Beginning date of season in format YR*10000 + MO*100 + DA. (Integer*4)

Word 8 – Ending date of season in same format as word 6. (Integer*4)

Words 9-10 – Zero (not used). (Integer*4)

Record 2 – NHDR Words (Integer*4):

Word 1 – Zero (not used).

Word 2 – Record ID = 1.

Word 3 – Zero (not used).

Word 4 – Zero (not used).

Words 5-NHDR – NSG station WBAN and group numbers. Summaries for groups of stations (e.g., NWS regions, overall, etc.) are assigned an ID. The NWS Region numbers are ER = 101, SR = 102, CR = 103, WR = 104, AR = 105, and PR = 106. The 48-state summary = 200.

Record 3 - NHDR words:

Word 1 - Zero (not used).

Word 2 - Record ID = 2. (Integer*4)

Word 3 - Zero (not used).

Word 4 - Zero (not used).

Words 5-NHDR - NSG station call letters in same order as in record 2. Groups of stations have special labels assigned. NWS Regions are 'ER ', 'SR ', 'CR ', 'WR ', 'AR ', and 'PR '. The 48-state summary is labeled 'US48'. (A4)

Records 4-8 - NHDR words:

Word 1 - Zero (not used).

Word 2 - Record ID = 3 through 7. (Integer*4)

Word 3 - Zero (not used).

Word 4 - Zero (not used).

Words 5-NHDR - NSG station names in same order as in record 2. Each record contains 4 characters of name. NWS regions are 'EASTERN REGION ', etc. The 48-state summary is labeled '48 STATES '. (A4)

Records 9-NSG + 8 - NHDR words (Integer*4):

Word 1 - Zero (not used).

Word 2 - Record ID = 8 through NGP + 8 (see record 1, word 3).

Word 3 - Number of stations in summary identified by this record = KSTA.

Word 4 - Summary number of summary identified by this record. For instance, Eastern Region summary = 101. These will be in the same order as they appear in record 2, word 5 and following (e.g., this word 4 in record 9 will be the same as word NSG - NGP + 1 in record 2).

Words 5-NHDR - The first KSTA words contain the WBAN numbers of the stations involved in the summary whose identifier is in word 4. The remainder of the words are zero.

2 - Multiple records of size NWDS words (words 1-4 are Integer*4), consisting of:

Word 1 - Approximate release time of forecast in GMT. The following definitions apply:

```
Official early morning public          - 0900
Official early morning public update - 1400
Official early evening public          - 2100
Official early morning FT              - 0900
Official late morning FT               - 1400
Official early evening FT              - 2100
MOS guidance, 0000 GMT cycle            - 0300
MOS guidance, 1200 GMT cycle            - 1500
```

Word 2 — Score ID. The following definitions apply (note: sample size is size of total sample unless otherwise indicated):

10 = Brier Score (BS).

11 = BS when LCL PoP $\geq$ 30% (sample size = number of times LCL PoP $\geq$ 30%).

12 = BS when precipitation occurred (sample size = number of precipitation cases).

20 = Mean Absolute Error (MAE).

21 = MAE when 24-h temperature variability $\geq$ 10°F (sample size = number of observed temperature changes $\geq$ 10°F).

22XX = Number of temperature forecasts whose absolute error falls into category XX, where XX is defined in Table 5.

30 = Mean Square Error (MSE).

31 = Root Mean Square Error (RMSE).

40 = Mean Algebraic Error (bias).

50 = Percent Correct.

60 = Log Score.

70 = Heidke Skill Score.

80 = Probability of Detection (POD - 32°F) (sample size = number of times min temperature observation was $\leq$ 32°F and previous day's min temperature observation was $\geq$ 40°F). This score is calculated only for minimum temperature.

80XX = POD for category XX, where XX is defined in Table 5.

81 = False Alarm Ratio (FAR - 32°F) (sample size = number of times min temperature forecast was $\leq$ 32°F and previous day's min temperature forecast was $\geq$ 40°F). This score is calculated only for minimum temperature.

81XX = FAR for category XX, where XX is defined in Table 5.

82 = Threat Score (TS).

82XX = TS for category XX, where XX is defined in Table 5.

83 = POD for the lowest two categories combined (i.e., LIFR and IFR). This score is calculated only for ceiling height and visibility.

84 = FAR for the lowest two categories combined (i.e., LIFR and IFR) for ceiling height and visibility.

85 = TS for the lowest two categories combined (i.e., LIFR and IFR) for ceiling height and visibility.

90 = Mean.

91 = Variance.

92 = Standard deviation.

1XX = Frequency of event for category XX. This is either the number of forecasts or the number of observations of a category, depending on the weather element and forecast source code used. This can apply to a category of ceiling height, say, defined as the observations of 200-400 ft, or to a category of PoP defined as forecasts of a particular (rounded) value such as 40%. A value of 9999. indicates that there were no cases for that category.

2XX = Bias of event for category XX (see 1XX above), defined as number of forecasts of event divided by number of observations of event. If number of observations = 0, this score is set to 9999. If number of forecasts = 0, this score is set to 8888.

3XX = Reliability for category XX, defined as the relative frequency of the event compared with the average forecast, either overall or stratified by intervals. For PoP, a value of 0 indicates that the category was forecast, but the observed value was not a precipitation case, and a value of 9999. means that there were no forecasts for that category.

Word 3 - Forecast projection in hours. This is measured from the forecast release time for both official forecasts and guidance as specified by word 1. For forecasts spanning a period (e.g., 12-h PoP), the projection will be the ending hour. For example, the official "first period" 12-h PoP issued at 0900 GMT would have word 3 = 15 and the corresponding guidance word 3 = 21.

Word 4 - Weather element and forecast source (i.e., official, guidance, climatology, or persistence) identifier. Some scores, such as variance, pertain only to one variable, like the verifying observations. Definitions of the code numbers are given in Table 6.

Words 5 - NWDS - NSG pairs of words contain the scores and corresponding sample sizes for stations and group summaries identified in record 2. (score - Real*4, sample size - Integer*4)

3 - A dummy record consisting of:
Words 1 - NWDS - Set = 9999.

B - End of data on tape signaled by an EOF.

COMMENTS: This format allows for flexibility of changing any of the parameters defining the verification scores at any time. Fig. 5 shows the format of the archive tapes.

I/O MODE: These are 9 track tapes (6250 bpi density) with a SAS output statement that is equivalent to the unformatted FORTRAN WRITE statement, and with the DD statement:

```
//GO.FT21F001 DD DSN=OVER,DISP=(NEW,KEEP),LABEL=(N,SL),
   UNIT=T6250,VOL=SER=EXXXXX,
   DCB=(RECFM=VBS,LRECL=860,BLKSIZE=23224)
```

Table 1. One-hundred stations for which scores are saved in the long-term archive.

ABI	Abilene, Texas	ILM	Wilmington, North Carolina
ABQ	Albuquerque, New Mexico	IND	Indianapolis, Indiana
ALB	Albany, New York	INL	International Falls, Minnesota
ALO	Waterloo, Iowa	ISN	Williston, North Dakota
AMA	Amarillo, Texas	JAN	Jackson, Mississippi
ATL	Atlanta, Georgia	LAS	Las Vegas, Nevada
AVL	Asheville, North Carolina	LAX	Los Angeles, California
BDL	Hartford, Connecticut	LBB	Lubbock, Texas
BHM	Birmingham, Alabama	LBF	North Platte, Nebraska
BIL	Billings, Montana	LGA	New York (LaGuardia), New York
BIS	Bismarck, North Dakota	LIT	Little Rock, Arkansas
BNA	Nashville, Tennessee	LND	Lander, Wyoming
BOI	Boise, Idaho	MCI	Kansas City, Missouri
BOS	Boston, Massachusetts	MDW	Chicago (Midway), Illinois
BRO	Brownsville, Texas	MEM	Memphis, Tennessee
BTV	Burlington, Vermont	MFR	Medford, Oregon
BUF	Buffalo, New York	MIA	Miami, Florida
CAE	Columbia, South Carolina	MKE	Milwaukee, Wisconsin
CAO	Clayton, New Mexico	MOB	Mobile, Alabama
CDC	Cedar City, Utah	MSP	Minneapolis, Minnesota
CHS	Charleston, South Carolina	MSY	New Orleans, Louisiana
CLE	Cleveland, Ohio	OKC	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
CLT	Charlotte, North Carolina	OMA	Omaha, Nebraska
CMH	Columbus, Ohio	ORF	Norfolk, Virginia
CPR	Casper, Wyoming	PDX	Portland, Oregon
CRW	Charleston, West Virginia	PHL	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
CYS	Cheyenne, Wyoming	PHX	Phoenix, Arizona
DAG	Daggett, California	PIH	Pocatello, Idaho
DCA	Washington, D.C.	PIT	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
DDC	Dodge City, Kansas	PVD	Providence, Rhode Island
DEN	Denver, Colorado	PWM	Portland, Maine
DFW	Dallas-Ft. Worth, Texas	RAP	Rapid City, South Dakota
DLH	Duluth, Minnesota	RDU	Raleigh-Durham, North Carolina
DRT	Del Rio, Texas	RNO	Reno, Nevada
DSM	Des Moines, Iowa	ROW	Roswell, New Mexico
DTW	Detroit, Michigan	SAC	Sacramento, California
ELP	El Paso, Texas	SAN	San Diego, California
ELY	Ely, Nevada	SAT	San Antonio, Texas
FAR	Fargo, North Dakota	SAV	Savannah, Georgia
FAT	Fresno, California	SDF	Louisville, Kentucky
FLG	Flagstaff, Arizona	SEA	Seattle-Tacoma, Washington
FSD	Sioux Falls, South Dakota	SFO	San Francisco, California
FSM	Fort Smith, Arkansas	SHV	Shreveport, Louisiana
GEG	Spokane, Washington	SLC	Salt Lake City, Utah
GGW	Glasgow, Montana	SSM	Sault Ste Marie, Michigan
GJT	Grand Junction, Colorado	STL	St. Louis, Missouri
GTF	Great Falls, Montana	SYR	Syracuse, New York
HLN	Helena, Montana	TPA	Tampa, Florida
IAH	Houston, Texas	TUL	Tulsa, Oklahoma
ICT	Wichita, Kansas	TUS	Tucson, Arizona

Table 2. Ninety-four stations for which scores are saved in the AFOS-era score archive.

ABI	Abilene, Texas	LAX	Los Angeles, California
ABQ	Albuquerque, New Mexico	LBB	Lubbock, Texas
ALB	Albany, New York	LBF	North Platte, Nebraska
ALO	Waterloo, Iowa	LEX	Lexington, Kentucky
ATL	Atlanta, Georgia	LGA	New York (LaGuardia), New York
*AVP	Scranton, Pennsylvania	LIT	Little Rock, Arkansas
BHM	Birmingham, Alabama	MCI	Kansas City, Missouri
BKW	Beckley, West Virginia	MEI	Meridian, Mississippi
BIS	Bismarck, North Dakota	MEM	Memphis, Tennessee
BNA	Nashville, Tennessee	MFR	Medford, Oregon
BOI	Boise, Idaho	MIA	Miami, Florida
BOS	Boston, Massachusetts	MKE	Milwaukee, Wisconsin
BTV	Burlington, Vermont	MOB	Mobile, Alabama
BUF	Buffalo, New York	MSN	Madison, Wisconsin
CAE	Columbia, South Carolina	MSP	Minneapolis, Minnesota
CDC	Cedar City, Utah	MSY	New Orleans, Louisiana
CHS	Charleston, South Carolina	OKC	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
CLE	Cleveland, Ohio	OMA	Omaha, Nebraska
CLT	Charlotte, North Carolina	ORD	Chicago (O'Hare), Illinois
CMH	Columbus, Ohio	ORF	Norfolk, Virginia
CON	Concord, New Hampshire	PDX	Portland, Oregon
CPR	Casper, Wyoming	PHL	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
CRW	Charleston, West Virginia	PHX	Phoenix, Arizona
CYS	Cheyenne, Wyoming	PIH	Pocatello, Idaho
DCA	Washington, D.C.	PIT	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
DEN	Denver, Colorado	PVD	Providence, Rhode Island
DFW	Dallas-Ft. Worth, Texas	PWM	Portland, Maine
DLH	Duluth, Minnesota	RAP	Rapid City, South Dakota
DSM	Des Moines, Iowa	RDU	Raleigh-Durham, North Carolina
DTW	Detroit, Michigan	RNO	Reno, Nevada
ELP	El Paso, Texas	SAN	San Diego, California
ERI	Erie, Pennsylvania	SAT	San Antonio, Texas
EWR	Newark, New Jersey	SAV	Savannah, Georgia
FAR	Fargo, North Dakota	SBN	South Bend, Indiana
FAT	Fresno, California	SDF	Louisville, Kentucky
FSD	Sioux Falls, South Dakota	SEA	Seattle-Tacoma, Washington
FSM	Fort Smith, Arkansas	SFO	San Francisco, California
GEG	Spokane, Washington	SHV	Shreveport, Louisiana
GJT	Grand Junction, Colorado	SLC	Salt Lake City, Utah
GRR	Grand Rapids, Michigan	SPI	Springfield, Illinois
GTF	Great Falls, Montana	STL	St. Louis, Missouri
HLN	Helena, Montana	SYR	Syracuse, New York
IAH	Houston, Texas	TCC	Tucumcari, New Mexico
ICT	Wichita, Kansas	TOP	Topeka, Kansas
IND	Indianapolis, Indiana	TPA	Tampa, Florida
JAN	Jackson, Mississippi	TUL	Tulsa, Oklahoma
LAS	Las Vegas, Nevada	TUS	Tucson, Arizona

* Atlantic City, New Jersey (ACY) was used in place of Scranton, Pennsylvania for the 1983-84 cool season and 1984 warm season.

Table 3. Summary of changes to the AEV score archive.

Approximate Date	Type of Change
October 1984	Scranton, Pennsylvania began sending data in place of Atlantic City, New Jersey.
October 1984	24-h projection from 0000 and 1200 GMT replaced the 30-h projection for wind speed and direction.
November 1985	MOS forecasts of daytime max and nighttime min temperatures replaced forecasts of calendar day max and min temperatures.
December 1986	Change in release time of official aviation terminal forecasts. MOS and local aviation element forecasts of ceiling height, visibility, and wind speed and direction are no longer valid for the same time (except for the 42-h projection of wind speed).
April 1988	Mean square error improvement over climatic normal max and min temperature score added.

Table 4. Scores for each weather element in the score archive. Approximate release times and projections are also indicated.

Weather Element	Type of Data	Data ID	Release Time (GMT)	Hours from Release Time	Scores
Max temp	MOS forecast	2009	0300	21, 45	20, 21, 30*, 31, 40, 80, 81, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204
	Local forecast		1500	33, 57	same as above
		2059	0900	15, 39	same as above
			2100	27, 51	same as above
	Climatology	2069	0000	24, 48	30*
			1200	36, 60	30*
Min temp	MOS forecast	2109	0300	33, 57	20, 21, 30*, 31, 40, 80, 81, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204
	Local forecast		1500	21, 45	same as above
		2159	0900	27, 51	same as above
			2100	15, 39	same as above
	Climatology	2169	0000	36, 60	30*
			1200	24, 48	30*
PoP	MOS forecast	6100	0300, 1500	21, 33, 45	10, 11, 12, 50, 90, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313
	Local forecast	6150	0900, 2100	15, 27, 39	same as above
	Climatology	6160	0000, 1200	24, 36, 48	10
	Observation	6190	0000, 1200	24, 36, 48	90

* The score corresponding to the number 30 was not available prior to April 1988.

19

Table 4. (Continued).

Weather Element	Type of Data	Data ID	Release Time (GMT)	Hours from Release Time	Scores
Precip type	MOS forecast	400X	0300, 0900	15, 27, 39	50, 70, 201, 202, 203, 8001, 8002, 8101, 8102
	Local forecast	405X	0900, 2100	09, 21, 33	same as above
	Observation	40X0	0000, 1200	18, 30, 42	101, 102, 103
Snow amount	MOS forecast	4300	0300, 1500	21	50, 70, 201, 202, 203, 204, 8001, 8002, 8003, 8101, 8102, 8103, 8201, 8202, 8203
	Local forecast	4350	0900, 2100	15	same as above
	Observation	4390	0000, 1200	24	101, 102, 103, 104
Cloud amt	MOS forecast	8000	0300, 1500	09, 15, 21	50, 70, 201, 202, 203, 204
	Local forecast	8050	0900, 2100	03, 09, 15	same as above
	Observation	8090	0000, 1200	12, 18, 24	101, 102, 103, 104
Wind speed	MOS forecast	500X	0300, 1500	09, 15, 21**	20, 40, 50, 70, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206
				39	70, 50, 201, 202
	Local forecast	505X	0900, 2100	03, 09, 15**	20, 40, 50, 70, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206
				33	70, 50, 201, 202
	Observation	50XY	0000, 1200	12, 18, 24**	101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106

For precipitation type, X = 8 if verifying observation is for the verifying hour and X = 9 if observation is for a 2-h window about the verifying hour.

For wind speed, X = 8 if verifying observation is for the verifying hour and X = 9 if observation is for a 6-h window about the verifying hour. Y = 0 if observation verifies the MOS forecast, and Y = 5 if observation verifies the local forecast.

** From October 1983-September 1984, a 27-h projection from release time for the MOS forecasts, a 21-h projection from release time for the local forecasts, and a 30-h projection from release time for the observations was used.

Table 4. (Continued).

Weather Element	Type of Data	Data ID	Release Time (GMT)	Hours from Release Time	Scores
Wind direction	MOS forecast	5500	0300, 1500	09, 15, 21**	20, 70, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208
	Local forecast	5550	0900, 2100	03, 09, 15**	same as above
	Observation	559Y	0000, 1200	12, 18, 24**	101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108
Ceiling height	MOS forecast	1000	0900, 1500	09, 12, 15, 21	50, 60, 70, 201, 202, 203, 204, 83, 84, 85
	Local forecast	1050	0900, 2100	03, 09, 12, 15	same as above
	Persistence	107Y	0000, 1200	12, 15, 18, 24	101, 102, 103, 104
	Observation	109Y	0000, 1200	12, 15, 18, 24	same as above
Visibility	MOS forecast	1000	0900, 1500	09, 12, 15, 21	50, 60, 70, 201, 202, 203, 204, 83, 84, 85
	Local forecast	1050	0900, 2100	03, 09, 12, 15	same as above
	Persistence	107Y	0000, 1200	12, 15, 18, 24	101, 102, 103, 104
	Observation	109Y	0000, 1200	12, 15, 18, 24	same as above

For wind direction, ceiling height, and visibility, $Y = 0$ if observation or persistence corresponds to the MOS forecast, and $Y = 5$ if observation or persistence corresponds to the local forecast.

** From October 1983–September 1984, a 27-h projection from release time for the MOS forecasts, a 21-h projection from release time for the local forecasts, and a 30-h projection from release time for the observations was used.

Table 5. Definitions of categories.

Weather Element	Category (XX)	Value	Comments
Max/min temp	01	0– 5°F	Used only for the score 22XX.
	02	6–10°F	
	03	11–15°F	
	04	>15°F	
PoP	01	0.0	Used for scores 1XX and 3XX. Since local forecasts never have a value of 0.02, scores 102 and 302 always have a value of 9999. in the score archive for the local forecasts.
	02	0.02	
	03	0.05	
	04	0.10	
	05	0.20	
	06	0.30	
	07	0.40	
	08	0.50	
	09	0.60	
	10	0.70	
	11	0.80	
	12	0.90	
	13	1.00	
Precip type	01	freezing	freezing = freezing drizzle (ZL) and freezing rain (ZR)
	02	frozen	frozen = ice crystals (IC), ice pellets (IP), ice pellet showers (IPW), snow (S), snow grains (SG), snow pellets (SP), and snow showers (SW)
	03	liquid	liquid = drizzle (L), rain (R), and rain showers (RW) Three categories used for scores 1XX and 2XX. Scores 80XX and 81XX are calculated only for categories 01 and 02.

Table 5. (Continued).

Weather Element	Category (XX)	Value	Comments
Snow amount	01	≤ 1 in	Used for the scores 1XX and 2XX.
	02	2-3 in	
	03	4-5 in	
	04	≥ 6 in	
	01	≥ 2 in	Used for scores 80XX, 81XX, and 82XX.
	02	≥ 4 in	
	03	≥ 6 in	
Cloud amount	01	Clear	Category 01 also includes thin scattered, thin broken, thin overcast, and partially obscured. Category 04 also includes obscured. Used for scores 1XX and 2XX.
	02	Scattered	
	03	Broken	
	04	Overcast	
Wind speed	01	≤ 12 kt	Six categories are used for the first 3 forecast periods for scores 1XX and 2XX.
	02	13-17 kt	
	03	18-22 kt	
	04	23-27 kt	
	05	28-32 kt	
	06	≥ 33 kt	
	01	≤ 22 kt	Two categories are used for the 42-h projection for scores 1XX and 2XX.
	02	> 22 kt	
Wind direction	01	340- 20 degrees	Used for scores 1XX and 2XX.
	02	30- 60 degrees	
	03	70-110 degrees	
	04	120-150 degrees	
	05	160-200 degrees	
	06	210-240 degrees	
	07	250-290 degrees	
	08	300-330 degrees	

Table 5. (Continued).

Weather Element	Category (XX)	Value	Comments
Ceiling height	01	0– 100 ft	Six categories are used for scores 1XX and 2XX. Scores 83, 84, and 85 are for the lowest 2 categories combined.
	02	200– 400 ft	
	03	500– 900 ft	
	04	1000–2900 ft	
	05	3000–7500 ft	
	06	>7500 ft	
Visibility	01	< 1/2 mi	Six categories are used for scores 1XX and 2XX. Scores 83, 84, and 85 are for the lowest 2 categories combined.
	02	1/2 – 7/8 mi	
	03	1 – 2 3/4 mi	
	04	3 – 4 mi	
	05	5 – 6 mi	
	06	> 6 mi	

Table 6. Weather elements and corresponding data identification codes in the score archive. The projections archived are also indicated.

Weather Element	Type of Data	Data ID	Projections	Comments
Max temp	MOS forecast	200X	24, 36, 48, 60	X = 8, verifying observation is for calendar day
			24, 36, 48, 60	X = 9, verifying observation is for daytime period
	Local forecast	205X	24, 36, 48, 60	X = 8 and 9 same as above
	Climatology	206X	24, 36, 48, 60	X = 8 and 9 same as above
	Calendar day Max Observation	2080	24, 36, 48, 60	used to verify local and MOS forecasts
	Daytime Max Observation	2090	24, 36, 48, 60	used to verify local and MOS forecasts
Min temp	MOS forecast	210X	24, 36, 48, 60	X = 8, verifying observation is for calendar day
			24, 36, 48, 60	X = 9, verifying observation is for nighttime period
	Local forecast	215X	24, 36, 48, 60	X = 8 and 9 same as above
	Climatology	216X	24, 36, 48, 60	X = 8 and 9 same as above
	Calendar day Min Observation	2180	24, 36, 48, 60	used to verify local and MOS forecasts
	Nighttime Min Observation	2190	24, 36, 48, 60	used to verify local and MOS forecasts
PoP	MOS forecast	6100	24, 36, 48	
	Local forecast	6150	24, 36, 48	
	Climatology	6160	24, 36, 48	
	Observation	6190	24, 36, 48	12-h precipitation amount
Precip type	MOS forecast	400X	18, 30, 42	X = 8, verifying observation is for verifying hour
				X = 9, verifying observation is in a 2-h window
	Local forecast	405X	18, 30, 42	X = 8 and 9 same as above
	Observation	40X0	18, 30, 42	X = 8 and 9 same as above

25

Table 6. (Continued).

Weather Element	Type of Data	Data ID	Projections	Comments
Snow amount	MOS forecast	4300	24	
	Local forecast	4350	24	
	Observation	4390	24	12-h snow amount
Cloud amt	MOS forecast	8000	12, 18, 24	
	Local forecast	8050	12, 18, 24	
	Observation	8090	12, 18, 24	
Wind speed	MOS forecast	500X	12, 18, 24, 42	X = 8, verifying observation is for verifying hour
				X = 9, verifying observation is in a 6-h window
	Local forecast	505X	12, 18, 24, 42	X = 8 and 9 same as above
	Observation corresponding to MOS forecast	50X0	12, 18, 24, 42	X = 8 and 9 same as above
	Observation corresponding to local forecast	50X5	12, 18, 24, 42	X = 8 and 9 same as above
Wind direction	MOS forecast	5500	12, 18, 24	verifying observation is for verifying hour
	Local forecast	5550	12, 18, 24	verifying observation is for verifying hour
	Observation corresponding to MOS forecast	5590	12, 18, 24	verifying observation taken from hourly observations after March 1987
	Observation corresponding to local forecast	5595	12, 18, 24	observation taken from AEV data archive

26

Table 6. (Continued).

Weather Element	Type of Data	Data ID	Projections	Comments
Ceiling height	MOS forecast	1000	12, 15, 18, 24	no forecasts for the 15-h projection
	Local forecast	1050	12, 15, 18, 24	
	Persistence	1070	12, 15, 18, 24	persistence associated with the MOS forecast, taken from hourly observations after March 1987
	Persistence	1075	12, 15, 18, 24	persistence associated with the local forecast, taken from AEV data archive
	Observation	1090	12, 15, 18, 24	observation associated with the MOS forecast (hourly)
	Observation	1095	12, 15, 18, 24	observation associated with the local forecast (AEV)
Visibility	MOS forecast	1500	12, 15, 18, 24	no forecasts for the 15-h projection
	Local forecast	1550	12, 15, 18, 24	
	Persistence	1570	12, 15, 18, 24	persistence associated with the MOS forecast, taken from hourly observations after March 1987
	Persistence	1575	12, 15, 18, 24	persistence associated with the local forecast, taken from AEV data archive
	Observation	1590	12, 15, 18, 24	observation associated with the MOS forecast (hourly)
	Observation	1595	12, 15, 18, 24	observation associated with the local forecast (AEV)

```
//WEVDTMPC JOB (WE20008M1K1014V,TDL-11),'DAGOSTARO',
//    REGION=2000K,TIME=15,NOTIFY=$WE21VD,MSGCLASS=T
//*FORMAT PR,DDNAME=,DEST=GRAMX,CARRIAGE=8#IN
//      EXEC SAS
//WORK DD UNIT=SYSDA,SPACE=(CYL,(100,50))
//WORK2 DD UNIT=SYSDA,SPACE=(CYL,(100,50))
//SYSIN DD DSN=NWS.WE21.VJD.SOURCE(VERFTMPC),DISP=SHR
//      DD *
    %VERFTMPC(861001,870331,1,1,24,36,48,60,FT20F001,TP868700)
//IN   DD DSN=$WE21VD.TMPOOND,DISP=SHR
//INSTA DD DSN=NWS.WE21.VJD.DATA(STAVERF),DISP=SHR,LABEL=(,,,IN)
//SASLIB DD DSN=NWS.WE21.VJD.SASFMT,DISP=SHR
//SCORARCH DD DSN=$WE21VD.ALLSCORS.CL8687,DISP=(NEW,CATLG),
//    UNIT=TSODA,SPACE=(TRK,250)
/*
//
```

Fig. 1.   Example of the JCL that executes the max/min temperature
and PoP verification module.

The data input is referred to in SAS as LIST input. This is "format-free" input, i.e., the data values do not have to appear in specific columns. They must, however, appear in the correct order and must be separated by at least one blank. This data set must not be line-numbered (must be a NONUM data set).

Three items of information per station are read, up to six stations per record.

    Words 1-3:
        Word 1 - 5-digit station WBAN number
        Word 2 - First letter of region in which the station is located
        Word 3 - Station call letters
                (The call letters are not absolutely necessary since
                they are not actually used in the program at this
                time.)
    Words 4-6 - Repeat of words 1-3 for the next station.
    Words 7-9 - Repeat for next station
This sequence is repeated up to 6 times per record.

If any item of information, such as the station call letters, is omitted for any reason, a single period must appear in the position in which the information would normally appear. As above, the period must be separated from its neighboring data values by at least one blank. If a station is omitted from the list completely, all information pertaining to that station must be deleted (not replaced by periods). The omitted station's information may be replaced by any number of blanks, since blanks are ignored in LIST input.

Fig. 2.   Format of the station list input data set.

```
//WEVDOVER JOB (WE20008M1K1014V,TDL-11),'DAGOSTARO',
//    REGION=2000K,TIME=2,NOTIFY=$WE21VD,MSGCLASS=T
//*FORMAT PR,DDNAME=,DEST=GRAMX
//      EXEC SAS
//SYSIN DD DSN=NWS.WE21.VJD.SOURCE(SCORARCH),DISP=SHR
//      DD *
       %SCORS(861001,870331,Y,N,Y,DAYNITXN,NA,TP868700)
//FT21F001 DD DSN=OVER,DISP=(NEW,KEEP),UNIT=T6250,
//  DCB=(LRECL=860,RECFM=VBS,BLKSIZE=23224),
//   VOL=SER=EXXXXX,LABEL=(7,SL,,OUT)
//INSTA DD DSN=NWS.WE21.VJD.DATA(STAVERF),LABEL=(,,,IN),DISP=SHR
//SASLIB DD DSN=NWS.WE21.VJD.SASFMT,DISP=SHR
//SCORARCH DD DSN=$WE21VD.ALLSCORS.CL8687,DISP=SHR
/*
//
```

Fig. 3. Example of the JCL that executes the score archive program
 for max/min temperature.

```
//WEVDOVER JOB (WE20008M1K1014V,TDL-11),'DAGOSTARO',
//    REGION=2000K,TIME=30,NOTIFY=$WE21VD,MSGCLASS=T
//*FORMAT PR,DDNAME=,DEST=LOCAL
//*MAIN LINES=(50,C)
//       EXEC SAS
//SYSIN DD DSN=NWS.WE21.VJD.SOURCE(SCORARCH),DISP=SHR
//       DD *
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,DAYNITXN,NA,TP868712)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,POP,NA,TP868700)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,POP,NA,TP868712)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,CLD,NA,CD868700)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,CLD,NA,CD868712)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,CIG,LCL,CGL86870)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,CIG,LCL,CGL86872)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,CIG,MOS,CGM86870)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,CIG,MOS,CGM86872)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,VIS,LCL,VSL86870)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,VIS,LCL,VSL86872)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,VIS,MOS,VSM86870)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,VIS,MOS,VSM86872)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,MWSP,LCL,MWL86870)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,MWSP,LCL,MWL86872)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,WSPD,LCL,WSL86870)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,WSPD,LCL,WSL86872)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,WDIR,LCL,WDL86870)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,WDIR,LCL,WDL86872)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,MWSP,MOS,MWM86870)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,MWSP,MOS,MWM86872)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,WSPD,MOS,WSM86870)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,WSPD,MOS,WSM86872)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,WDIR,MOS,WDM86870)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,WDIR,MOS,WDM86872)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,PPTV,NA,PT868700)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,PPTV,NA,PT868712)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,POPT,NA,PW868700)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,POPT,NA,PW868712)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,N,N,POSA,NA,SW868700)
       %SCORS(861001,870331,N,Y,N,POSA,NA,SW868712)
//FT21F001 DD DSN=OVER,DISP=(MOD,KEEP),UNIT=T6250,
//   VOL=SER=EXXXXX,LABEL=(7,SL,,OUT)
//SASLIB DD DSN=NWS.WE21.VJD.SASFMT,DISP=SHR
//SCORARCH DD DSN=$WE21VD.ALLSCORS.CL8687,DISP=SHR
/*
//
```

Fig. 4. Example of the JCL that executes the score archive
program for all elements.

Record No. → / Word No. ↓	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Next NGP Header Records	Multiple Data Records	Dummy Record
1	'OVER'	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Forecast Release Time in GMT	9999
2	NSTA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 to NGP + 8	Score ID	9999
3	NGP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	KSTA( )	Forecast Projection	9999
4	NSG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Summary No.	Weather Element and Forecast Source	9999
5	NHDR	NSG WBAN and Group Nos.	NSG Call Letters and Group Labels	NSG Station and Group Names — First 4 Char.	Next 4 Char.	Next 4 Char.	Next 4 Char.	Last 4 Char.	KSTA( ) WBAN Nos. of Stations in Summary	NSG Scores and Sample Sizes for Stations and Groups	9999
6	NWDS										
7	Beginning Date										
8	Ending Date										
9	0										
10	0								0		
NHDR											

Fig. 5. Format of the score archive tapes.

31