



Rip Current Model Output Statistics (RCMOS) Modeling

for Real-Time Probabilistic and Deterministic Forecasts

Jung-Sun Im, Stephan Smith, Michael Churma, Judy Ghirardelli

Meteorological Development Laboratory (MDL), Office of Science and Technology Integration (OSTI) National Weather Service (NWS)

Gregory Dusek

Operational Oceanographic Products and Services (CO-OPS) National Ocean Service (NOS)

Paper 8.1 Wednesday, 13 January 2021, 1:10pm-1:15pm 19th Symposium on the Coastal Environment 101st AMS Annual Meeting

What is a Rip Current (RC)?



- Rapid offshore-directed jets of water that originate in the surf zone.
- Mostly caused by alongshore variations in breaking waves.
- RCs are the number one public safety risk at the beach.

Types of Rip Currents

based on dominant controlling forcing mechanism

Depend on the local wave climate and geomorphology.

- 1) hydrodynamic
- 2) bathymetric
- 3) boundary along structures
- 4) mixed

For all these types, the key common element is wave breaking that varies with space and time.

NWS Status for RC Predictions

• Lushine RC Scale (LURCS) based model:

- Give the same weight to each predictor and sum the scores linearly.
- Use empirically developed formula in each WFO.
- Provide deterministic official WFO forecasts.

• Perfect Prog (PP) model:

- Scheduled to be implemented into NWS operations as a component of the NCEP's Nearshore Wave Prediction System (NWPS).
- Provide probabilistic forecasts using one logistic regression equation.
- Use default threshold probabilities of 0.25 and 0.5 for moderate and high risk forecasts, respectively.
- Issue hourly 0-144-h forecasts at a spatial resolution of ~1 km along the US coasts.

• Model Output Statistics (MOS) model (RCMOS hereafter):

- Provide probabilistic forecast guidance using regional and seasonal MOS logistic regression equations.
- Provide deterministic risk forecast guidance along with the MOS probabilistic forecast guidance using the optimum threshold probabilities found iteratively for moderate and high risks.
- Started issuing hourly 0-144-h forecast guidance for the beaches where training data were available.

Benefits of the RCMOS model

Current Perfect Prog (PP) Model^{*1}:

 ✓ 1) Implicitly assumes the NWPS wave and tide forecasts (input data) are perfect.

To address issue #1:

MOS^{*2} approach is applied, which directly computes the logistic regression between NWPS model forecasts (predictors) and RC obs (predictand).

*1PP model: Makes no attempt to correct for possible Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) model errors or biases, but makes an assumption that NWP forecasts are perfect.

*2MOS model: Determines a statistical relationship between NWP model output at a given time frame (i.e., forecast projection) and observations at that time, and thus can correct for biases of the NWP model.

Benefits of the RCMOS model

Current PP Model:

 ✓ 2) Uses one logistic regression equation developed in Kill Devil Hills, NC during the summer, and applies the single equation to all locations and all seasons.

➤To address issue #2:

We developed regional and seasonal MOS logistic regression equations for WFO beaches where quality training data were available.

Benefits of the RCMOS model

Current PP Model:

 ✓ 3) Uses default threshold probabilities of 0.25 and 0.5 for moderate and high risk forecasts, respectively.

➢ To address issue #3:

We developed statistically calibrated threshold probability values for moderate and high risk forecast guidance for local WFO regions and warm/cool seasons.

RCMOS model

Predictand:

Rip Current Strength (as observed by lifeguards)

Predictors:

- Significant Wave Height
- Mean Wave Direction
- Peak Wave Period
- Previous Wave Event
- Tide Water Level

(as forecast by NWPS)

RCMOS for WFO CHS (Charleston, SC)



RCMOS forecast guidance made

- Significant improvements in the Reliability Diagram (i.e., much closer to the perfect score line)
- 48.9% improvements over the PP model in Brier Skill Score

RCMOS for WFO CHS (Charleston, SC)

Development of Threshold Probabilities for the RCMOS guidance to make deterministic RC "strength" risk forecast guidance:

- Found optimum threshold probability values iteratively which maximize equitable threat scores* within an allowable bias range (1+/-0.1)

P=0.437 for moderate risk P=0.795 for high risk

*Note1: For most other WFOs, the verification matrices of "correct rate" and "equitable threat score" were used to find threshold probabilities for moderate and high risk forecasts, respectively. But RC occurrences at the beaches in WFO CHS were very rare, so "equitable threat score" was used for moderate as well.

*Note2: More detailed info can be found in my 2018 AMS presentation. https://ams.confex.com/ams/98Annual/webprogram/Paper329097.html

Real-time RCMOS forecast guidance for WFO CHS (https://rcmos.mdl.nws.noaa.gov/downloads/CHS/)*

Upon CHS forecasters' request, "experimental" real-time deterministic risk forecast guidance (Low/Moderate/High) along with hazardous RC probabilistic forecast guidance is available.

- Hourly forecast guidance for the next 6 days (0-144 hours).
- Daily average and maximum values for the next 6 days.

YYYYMMDD.HH00	Longitude	Latitude	Prob(%)	Risk	YYYYMMDD	Beach#	Longitude	Latitude	AvgProb(%)	AvgRisk	MaxProb(%)	MaxRisk
20200826.0000	280.2160	32.7791	62.9	Moderate	20200826	1	280.2160	32.7791	36.2	Low	62.9	Moderate
20200826.0100	280,2160	32,7791	60.5	Moderate	20200827	1	280.2160	32.7791	10.1	Low	14.7	Low
20200826 0200	280 2160	32 7701	57.9	Moderate	20200828	1	280.2160	32.7791	14.0	Low	18.1	Low
20200820.0200	200.2100	32.7791	57.0	Moderace	20200829	1	280.2160	32.7791	23.2	Low	30.6	Low
20200826.0300	280.2160	32.7791	55.1	Moderate	20200830	1	280.2160	32.7791	3.8	LOW	28.3	LOW
20200826.0400	280.2160	32.7791	52.7	Moderate	20200901	1	280.2160	32.7791	-99.9	NA	-99.9	NA
20200826.0500	280.2160	32.7791	50.4	Moderate								
20200826.0600	280.2160	32.7791	48.2	Moderate	20200826	2	280.0450	32.6389	38.6	LOW	16.1	Low
20200926 0700	200 2160	22 7701	46 0	Moderate	20200828	2	280.0450	32.6389	15.2	Low	19.1	Low
20200820.0700	200.2100	32.7791	40.0	Moderace	20200829	2	280.0450	32.6389	25.2	Low	31.5	Low
20200826.0800	280.2160	32.7791	43.7	Moderate	20200830	2	280.0450	32.6389	13.4	Low	28.8	Low
20200826.0900	280.2160	32.7791	41.2	Low	20200831	. 2	280.0450	32.6389	3.9	Low	6.0	Low
20200826.1000	280,2160	32,7791	38.5	Low	20200901	2	280.0450	32.6389	-99.9	NA	-99.9	NA
20200826,1100	280,2160	32,7791	35.5	Low	20200826	3	279.8800	32.5832	31.6	Low	55.9	Moderate
20200826 1200	200 2160	22 7701	22.6	T.out	20200827	3	279.8800	32.5832	8.5	Low	12.1	Low
20200826.1200	280.2160	32.7791	32.0	LOW	20200828	3	279.8800	32.5832	12.7	Low	16.1	Low
20200826.1300	280.2160	32.7791	29.8	Low	20200829	3	279.8800	32.5832	19.9	LOW	24.0	Low
20200826.1400	280.2160	32.7791	27.4	Low	20200831	3	279.8800	32.5832	3.2	Low	4.9	Low
20200826.1500	280.2160	32,7791	25.5	Low	20200901	3	279.8800	32.5832	-99.9	NA	-99.9	NA
2020002011000	200.2100	20 7701	22.0	7								
20200826.1600	280.2160	32.7791	23.9	LOW	20200826	4	279.2530	32.1372	28.1	Low	44.3	Moderate
20200826.1700	280.2160	32.7791	22.8	Low	20200827	4	279.2530	32.1372	8.0	LOW	12.1	Low
					20200829	4	279.2530	32.1372	10.4	Low	14.7	Low
•					20200830	4	279.2530	32.1372	4.6	Low	10.3	Low
					20200831	. 4	279.2530	32.1372	1.6	Low	2.3	Low
				_	20200901	4	279.2530	32.1372	-99.9	NA	-99.9	NA
20200831.2200	279.1590	32.0086	2.7	Low	20200020		270 1500	22 0000	20 5	T	40.1	Ma damat a
20200831,2300	279,1590	32,0086	3.0	Low	20200826	5	279.1590	32.0086	30.5	LOW	12 4	Low
20200001 0000	070 1500	22.0000	2.1		20200827	5	279,1590	32.0086	7.9	Low	10.7	Low
20200901.0000	2/9.1590	32.0086	3.1	LOW	20200829	5	279.1590	32.0086	9.8	Low	13.9	Low
					20200830	5	279.1590	32.0086	4.4	Low	8.1	Low
					20200831	5	279.1590	32.0086	2.1	Low	3.0	Low

20200901

5

279.1590

32.0086

-99.9

NA

* Available to NOAA employees

NA

-99.9

RCMOS for WFO MOB,OKX,ILM

(Real-time forecast guidance at https://rcmos.mdl.nws.noaa.gov/downloads/)*

* Experimental and Available to NOAA employees



RCMOS for WFO HGX

(Real-time forecast guidance at https://rcmos.mdl.nws.noaa.gov/downloads/HGX)*

* Experimental and Available to NOAA employees



Galveston Beach, TX Jun 19, 2019 – Apr 28, 2020

RCMOS for WFO SGX



Summary

- Upon our collaborative evaluation, the probabilistic RC forecast model (Perfect Prog model) is now scheduled to be implemented into NWS operations.
- Concurrently, significant improvements over the Prefect Prog model were made by developing regional and seasonal MOS models for probabilistic forecast guidance, and corresponding optimum threshold probabilities for deterministic risk forecast guidance.
- "Experimental" real-time hourly and daily RCMOS forecast guidance is available to NOAA employees at <u>https://rcmos.mdl.nws.noaa.gov/downloads/</u>.

Discussion

- #1. Goal: Assist NWS WFO forecasters with providing reliable RC model forecast guidance in a timely manner to save more lives together, by cooperating with beach lifeguards, WFO forecasters, NCEP NWPS model developers, NOS researchers, AFSO decision support, etc.
- #2. I believe that improving obs data quality is the most important first step for improving forecast products. Obs data are used for RC model development (predictand) as well as verification (truth). Currently we are using lifeguard obs data which is an extremely valuable resource, but

sometimes

- obs **time** is questionable (i.e., reporting time instead) probably due to the our portlet setup not being user-friendly for reporters
- obs location (latitude/longitude) is not precise, or even specified
- too many days are missing especially during warm months probably due to more distractions
- it seems that obs data are **omitted** more on days without RC than with RC, and

always

- data are available only once or twice a day at most.
- #3. Lifeguard (i.e., local beach expert) obs data will be a critical tool for QC and calibrating automated continuous obs data such as WebCam, drones, etc., which MDL and NOS are now pursuing collaboratively.

Discussion

- #4. At present the NWPS predictors used in the RCMOS model as well as the PP model are only bulk-averaged wave parameters and tide water level, which is more applicable to predicting <u>bathymetry-induced RCs</u>.
- ➢ #5. MDL is now working collaboratively with NWPS developers to:
 - add more predictors such as wave partitions from wave spectrum, shear instabilities to predict <u>hydrodynamic RCs</u>, etc.
 - improve **quality** of mean wave direction from **shore-normal**
 - improve quality of previous wave event predicting bathymetry changes after strong storm events
 - get NWPS retrospective run data on time when upgrading the NWPS model
- #6. In addition to the predictors from the NWPS model, MDL and NOS are now collaboratively investigating the use of **satellite** or **aerial imagery** to identify rip channels or rip favorable bathymetry.

Concluding Remarks

To improve any **"forecast"** model (i.e., traditional statistical model, deep machine learning model, etc.), the most necessary requirements are:

> Enough quality training data

- 1) Obs data as predictand
- 2) Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) model outputs as predictors
- Periodic upgrades

Acknowledgements

Special thanks to

- Lifeguards
- Michael Stroz and Pete Mohlin (NWS Charleston, SC)
- Cody Lindsey (NWS Mobil/Pensacola)
- Nelson Vaz and Da'Vel Johnson (NWS New York, NY)
- Victoria Oliva, Mark Willis, and Matt Scalora (NWS Wilmington, NC)
- Brian Kyle (NWS Houston/Galveston, TX)
- Casey Oswant, Noel Isla, Ivory Small, Brandt Maxwell, and Dan Gregoria (NWS San Diego, CA)
- Andre van der Westhuysen (NWS/NCEP/EMC)
- John Kuhn (NWS AFSO)

Thank You!!

Contact:

Jung-Sun.Im@noaa.gov

Decision Support Division Meteorological Development Laboratory 1325 East West HWY Silver Spring, MD 20910