

# Statistical Postprocessing of Week-1 and Week-2 Precipitation Forecasts Over Taiwan

Hui-Ling Chang<sup>a</sup>, Zoltan Toth<sup>b</sup>, Shih-Chun Chou<sup>a</sup>, Chih-Yung Feng<sup>c</sup>, Han-Fang Lin<sup>c</sup>, Pay-Liam Lin<sup>d</sup>, Jing-Shan Hong<sup>a</sup>, Chin-Tzu Fong<sup>a</sup>, Chia-Ping Cheng<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Central Weather Administration, Taipei, Taiwan*

<sup>b</sup> *Global Systems Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, Colorado*

<sup>c</sup> *Manysplended Infotech Ltd, Taiwan*

<sup>d</sup> *Institute of Atmospheric Physics, National Central University, Zhongli City, Taiwan*

## abstract

The predictability of precipitation is hindered by finer-scale processes not captured explicitly in global numerical models, such as convective interactions, cloud microphysics, and boundary layer dynamics. However, there is growing demand across various sectors for medium- (3–10-day) and extended-range (10–30-day) quantitative precipitation forecasts (QPFs) and probabilistic QPFs (PQPFs). This study uses a novel statistical postprocessing technique, APPM, that combines analog postprocessing (AP) with probability matching (PM) to produce week-1 and week-2 accumulated precipitation forecasts over Taiwan. AP searches for historical predictions that closely resemble the current forecast and create an AP ensemble using the observed high-resolution precipitation patterns corresponding to these forecast analogs. Frequency counting and PM are then separately applied to the AP ensemble to produce calibrated and downscaled PQPFs and bias-reduced QPFs, respectively.

Evaluation over a 22-year (1999–2020) period shows that raw ensemble forecasts from the GEFS of NOAA/NWS/Environmental Modeling Center, collected for the Sub-seasonal Experiment, are under-dispersive with a wet bias. In contrast, the AP ensemble spread well represents forecast uncertainty, leading to substantially more reliable and skillful probabilistic forecasts. Furthermore, the AP-based PQPF demonstrates superior discrimination ability and yields notably greater economic benefits for a wider range of users, with the maximum economic value increasing by 30% to 50% for the week-2 forecast. Compared to the raw ensemble mean forecast, the calibrated QPF exhibits lower mean absolute error and explains 3–8 times more variance in observations. Overall, the APPM technique significantly improves week-1 and week-2 QPFs and PQPFs over Taiwan.