Variational Assimilation of Total Lightning at Cloud Resolving Scales

Alexandre O. Fierro

CIMMS/NOAA - The University of Oklahoma

Collaborators: Yunheng Wang, Jidong Gao, Gang Zhao, Ted Mansell, Don MacGorman, Kristin Calhoun, and Shun Liu (NCEP)

Background

 Q_v within a layer above cloud base is adjusted (increased) as a function flash rate (X) and simulated Q_g and $Q_{satwater}$. Increasing Q_v at constant T boosts thermal buoyancy (via θ_v) and ultimately generates an updraft.

$$Q_v = AQ_{\text{sat}} + BQ_{\text{sat}} \tanh(CX) \left[1 - \tanh(DQ_g^{\alpha})\right]$$

-Only applied whenever simulated RH and Qg < fixed thresholds: i.e., if the model already is in the right direction don't adjust Qv.

Fierro et al. (2012, 1014, 2016, 2018)



GSI Experiments

-Logical follow-on: apply this philosophy to GSI in quasi-realtime

Experiments	Description	Data assimilated	Model variables impacted
CTRL	Control run	None	None
LDA (H=6km)	Lightning DA run. horizontal length scale of 6 km.	ENTLN flash extent density rates.	q _v (LCL-15 km)
PREBUFR	PREPBUFR DA run	NCEP PREPBUFR data bundle	*
PEBUFR+LDA (H_default)	Lightning+PREPBUFR DA run. GSI default horizontal length scale ~100km.	NCEP PREPBUFR data bundle ENTLN flash extent density rates. <i>No lightning=missing obs.</i>	q _v (LCL-15 km) + *
PEBUFR+LDA_ BKG (H_default)	Lightning+PREPBUFR DA run GSI default horizontal length scale ~100km.	NCEP PREPBUFR data bundle ENTLN flash extent density rates. <i>No lightning=valid obs (zero innovation).</i>	q _v (LCL-15 km) + *

*NCEP ADP Global Upper Air and Surface Weather Observations (PREPBUFR format) are composed of a global set of surface and upper air reports: land surface, marine surface, radiosonde, pibal and aircraft reports from the Global Telecommunications System (GTS), profiler and US radar derived winds, SSM/I oceanic winds and TCW retrievals, and satellite wind data from the National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Service (NESDIS). The reports can include pressure, geopotential height, temperature, dewpoint temperature, wind direction and speed. Report time intervals range from hourly to 12 hourly. Source: https://gcmd.nasa.gov

GSI: representative case

06 April 2017 0000 UTC: Column average Qv (g/kg) 06 April 2017 0100 UTC: dBZ at 4 km MSL CTRL PREBUFR CTRI PREBUFR 45N 42N 391 391 361 36N 33N 33N 301 27N (c) PREBUFR+LDA (Hdefault=~120km) LDA (H6km) LDA (H6km) PREBUFR+LDA (Hdefault=~120km) 451 45N 421 42N 301 361 36N 331 33N 30N 275 PREBUFR+LDA BKG (Hdefault=~120km) ENTLN data assimilated 45N (e) PREBUFR+LDA BKG (Hdefault=~120km) OBS 45 N 42N 42N 391 39N 36N 33N 30N 8814 8/110

-Strongly forced case -> good performance for CTRL. LDA still improves short term forecast when H <= 10km.

-GSI, however <u>does not</u> allow for multiscale VAR passes and assumes a large default H (~100 km) for all variables !

 \rightarrow Not mature enough for assimilating convective scale information together with mesoscale data.

-> use of NSSL 3DVAR. (cf Fierro et al. 2018 JCSDA Quarterly Newsletter for details).

NEWS3DVAR Experiments

-Use a similar approach than for GSI but combine LDA with level II radar data instead of PREPBUFR (follow Fierro et al. 2016).

Experiments	Description	Data assimilated	Model variables impacted
CTRL	Control run	None	None
GLM	Lightning assimilation run.	GLM flash density rates.	q _v (LCL-15 km)
RAD	Radar assimilation run	Vr and dBZ	$q_r, q_g, q_i, q_s, q_h, u, v, w, \theta$
RAD+GLM	Lightning+radar assimilation run	GLM flash density rates, Vr and dBZ	$\begin{array}{l} q_v \ (LCL-15 \ \ km), \ \ q_r, \ \ q_g, \\ q_i, \ q_s, \ q_h, \ u, \ v, \ w, \ \theta \end{array}$

NB: level II data from ~70 radars were assimilated Forecasts use NSSL 2-mom microphysics.

NEWS3DVAR: Quasi-realtime tests with GLM data

GLM densities and Qv fields





07 June 2018, 0000Z forecast: FSS for CREF





NB: Bias already present in CTRL, is exacerbated in DA runs due to increase in storm coverage. Not caused by DA but inherent to microphysics. Other schemes tested had similar or higher bias.

7 June 2018, 0000Z forecast (t=6h)





Ongoing and future work

- Experiments only employ one cycle (at 00Z): test influence of additional 3DVAR cycles with different frequencies.
- Run additional cases spanning different convective regimes.
- Increase/decrease the assimilation time window.
- •Establish the significance at the 5% level of the difference between score metrics: ETS(GLM)-ETS(CTRL) etc ... using random sampling (bootstrapping).
- Develop/Implement dynamic weighting between radar and lightning during the DA.
- •Continue to work on producing real-time evaluation graphics, which could be used during real time experiments (e.g., HWT).
- Start thinking about hybrid ensemble-3DVAR implementation for lightning.

7 June 2018, 0000Z forecast (t=12h)









07 June 2018, 0000Z forecast: ETS for APCP



Real -time implementation into WRF-NSSL 4-km CONUS runs



cf Fierro et al. (2014, MWR)

Real -time implementation into WRF-NSSL 4-km CONUS runs



ENTLN network





http://earthnetworks.com/OurNetworks/LightningNetwork.aspx

- •Measure broadband electric field, from 1 Hz to 12 MHz.
- •Effective proxy for GOES-R total lightning measurements.
- •Remarkable detection efficiency for CG return strokes over CONUS (98%) and IC with efficiencies > 70% over OK.
- •High network density results in overall small geo-location error generally (< 300 m over OK).

Graphics courtesy of Jim Anderson, Stan Heckman and Steve Prinzivalli from EarthNetworks®-Used with Permission.